

[Observer, The, 1959-1972](#)[Student Newspapers](#)

11-15-1971

The Observer Vol. 14, Issue No. 9, 11/15/1971

University of Maine Portland-Gorham

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usm.maine.edu/observer>

 Part of the [Nonfiction Commons](#), and the [Other American Studies Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

University of Maine Portland-Gorham, "The Observer Vol. 14, Issue No. 9, 11/15/1971" (1971). *Observer, The, 1959-1972*. 147.

<https://digitalcommons.usm.maine.edu/observer/147>


This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Newspapers at USM Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Observer, The, 1959-1972 by an authorized administrator of USM Digital Commons. For more information, please contact jessica.c.hovey@maine.edu.



The OBSERVER



UNIVERSITY OF MAINE AT PORTLAND — GORHAM VOL. 14 NO. 9 NOV. 15, 1971



(photo by J. M. Erspamer)

Just lying around the yards on campus, these two "young lovelies" are nymphs to the extreme of the word. Actually, they are creations of Art prof Pat Franklin. More can be seen in the basement of the Art Academy, including a statue marching forth with a spear.

RSG Sets Hearings

The regular meeting of the Resident Student Government last Thursday ranged in topics from the condition of Anderson Hall to the possibility of renting refrigerators out to students on a yearly basis.

Beginning with the smaller comforts that make life bearable, the girls of Robie-Andrews have requested that the desks in the lounge be moved down to where the Art department currently has a workshop. RSG decided to have Ko Kimmel, Housing head, look into the chances of getting the space.

Last Friday, the Food Services and Housing Committee of SAC distributed a survey in co-operation with RSG. As all results are wanted by this week, residents are urged to get in their form as soon as possible.

In regards to refrigerators, there is a good chance that the dorms will get them for rental if at least 50 persons are interested enough to rent them regularly. The fee would be about \$40 per year with a \$5 deposit which would be returned upon return of the fridge. Floor reps are going to be contacting all students in dorms as to the desire to get these machines.


After the results of the joint RSG-SAC poll are tabulated, open hearings will be held Nov. 30 and (are you ready for this?) Nov. 31. At the next meeting of the RSG the committee will re-date it to Dec. 1 as there is no November 31. As of this time, the hearings are still set for the end of the month, however.

Louie to "Share" With Us Wed.

President Calisti will share his observations and impressions of the University of Maine at Portland-Gorham with members of the University community Wednesday afternoon (Nov. 17) at 4 p.m. in the Hill Gymnasium on the Gorham campus. All faculty, students and staff are invited to hear the President and to attend a reception immediately following the talk. Special busses are scheduled to leave the Portland campus at 3:15 p.m. and will return following the reception.

Monks Here This Week

Robert Monks, Cape Elizabeth businessman and a new face in Maine Republican politics, will be on the Portland campus this Tuesday (tomorrow) at 9:00 a.m. to meet and talk with students from area colleges. He will be in Luther Bonney auditorium on the campus, and is being brought here by the Political Science majors association. Monks has been rumored to be interested in the Senate seat now held by Maine Republican Margaret Chase Smith but has said nothing as of yet in regards to challenging her in a primary.



Hoover, the unofficial mascot of the campus, was killed last week in a car accident on School Street in Gorham. The big, red Irish Setter was a 'pet' of everybody in the lounge and will be missed by us all. Our sympathy to Tom and all of those who knew Hoover.

Marijuana Myths Examined

Not too long ago, prisoners in a southern California "rehabilitation" center were interviewed regarding their addiction in an attempt to show that marijuana usage led inevitably to playing with the hard and heavy drugs. Much was made of the final report which said yes-indeed-there-is-a-connection. But nothing was said about the facts behind this loaded study: you went into these question-and-answer sessions knowing you were under consideration for parole. If you told the good doctor marijuana did not contribute to your later addiction to heavy stuff, it was a matter of common knowledge that your parole would not be acted upon.

And it was equally a matter of common knowledge that "rehabilitation" center that if you told the doctor researcher what he wanted to hear, the result would be an early recommendation for your parole.

What kind of data do you think that good doctor got? The prisoners, all primed for the interview, laid it out on the Potemkin-village line: Sure, doc, that first awful drag off a marijuana cigarette led directly to the fix I'm in, yessir! It contributed heavily to this problem I've got with havitual overtime parking, incestuous desires toward my mother and sisters, disrespect for Law and Order . . . and might have even led me right down that rocky trail to folksinging, rock and communism if the good officer hadn't come along and stopped me in the nick of time.

Well, the "statistics" came out and were given wide currency. They showed a direct link between pot and the use of heavy, addictive drugs. They had to — they were loaded, skewed, to produce that very answer. And this report was fallen upon with loud, glad cries by zealous officials throughout the land, because it did comfort the popular belief, setting marijuana right up there with the rest of the Deadly Menaces that face us all today.

The fact is, though, not a single official commission or inquiry has ever found hemp or marijuana injurious to the user. The first official report was made in India in 1894. Issued in Simla, the Report of the Indian Hemp Drug Commission, appointed by the Crown, fills seven thick official volumes. Result of a British-meticulous two-year investigation, the Simla Report brought out three main points:

1. "There is no evidence of any weight regarding mental and moral injuries from the moderate use of these drugs.
2. "Large numbers of practitioners of long experience have seen no evidence of any connection between the moderate use of hemp and disease.
3. "Moderation does not lead to excess in hemp any more than it does in alcohol. Regular, moderate use of ganja or hemp produces the same effects as moderate and regular doses of whiskey. Excess is confined to the idle and dissipated."

On this side of the ocean, there was the famous LaGuardia Report. Issued in 1944--and studiously ignored by just about every official source since -- the report was published by the New York Academy of Medicine at the request of the then-mayor of the nation's largest city.

The LaGuardia Report was an exhaustive study of the medical, sociological and addictive problems of marijuana by a corps of experts -- and their findings are strikingly similar to those of the Indian Hemp Drug Commission published half a century before. Briefly summarized, the main points of the LaGuardia Report boil down to these:

1. Smoking marijuana does not lead directly to mental or physical deterioration.
2. The habitual smoker knows when to stop, as excessive doses reverse the usually pleasant effect.
3. Marijuana does not lead to addiction (in the medical sense).
4. No deaths have ever been recorded that ascribed to marijuana.
5. Marijuana is not a direct casual factor in sexual or criminal misconduct.
6. "Juvenile delinquency" is not caused by marijuana smoking, although they are sometimes associated.
7. The publicity concerning the catastrophic effects of marijuana smoking in New York is unfounded. It is more a nuisance than a menace.

Do you think something like that was going to be officially accepted? What would happen, were this infamous Report true, to all the lurid stories that the lip-licking readers of the mass media had come to expect and savor? The linking of pot with sex perversion, murder, rape, theft -- all the daily paper schticks used to titillate the trembling straight suburbanite or city-dweller who could read with such delicious horror about things that never really happened?

If you want an obvious statement, the official view is extremely short-sighted; more, it's self-defeating. But the shortsightedness has been boosted immeasurably by reports like the prison-study folly -- and will probably continue as official view for some long time to come.

Until pot is legalized, that is, and everybody looks around and wonders what the official fuss was all about in the first place. It's going to happen. It has to. And then you'll see a spectacle, a three-ring humdinger of a circus of the absurd . . .

There will be the solemn introduction unsuspected evidence" that will take the stigma away from pot -- and all the big companies will fall over their corporate asses, getting the craze to market after miracles of overnight research, development and production.

It's going to require a lot of mighty fancy footwork, though, because the "new medical evidence" will have to be presented in such a way as not to make any public official look foolish. Just how they're going to bring off this trick will be one of the most fascinating-to-watch bits of legerdemain in recent history. They'll do it -- the ad agencies have had harder nuts to crack: look at the Presidents they've pushed in recent years!

They'll do it. They have to -- this is bigger than tin-pot politicians: there is money involved, too much money to throw away, too huge a potential market to be ignored and think of the new taxes!

Well, sir, legal or not, Old Boo, the Delight Giver, is here to stay. And as soon as the various powers-that-be find a way out of the trap of lies they've dug themselves into, watch for a market boom in the products of hemp that'll make the oldtime advertising days look mild. You can start with the singing commercials -- "Raleighs get you r-r-r-ripped!!" -- and take it from there.

PMD Alumni Day

Phi Mu Delta successfully completed our Alumni Day this past Saturday which included the distinguished Alumni, members from the national, and all interested faculty. Highlights of Alumni Day were a well prepared buffet made by the wives of the brothers (Thanks a lot!) a ribbon cutting ceremony, the dedication of the Alpha Lambda Beta room, and a fine social hour topped by an open house in the evening. Everything went smoothly due to the cooperation of all the brothers.

The Phi Mu Delta Jets lost a hard nose football decision last week in the championship game but not after sporting an impressive 6-1 record. The Jets had fine individual efforts by Dory Thompson, Vin Westburg, Dan Hupp, Jim Ready, Gary Munsey, Ed O'Shea, Mike McGraw, Earl Foley, Ed McDonough, and Mike Burke. The Jets rolled over the majority of their opponents and looked much like the Oklahoma Sooners than anything else. The toughness of the defense which allowed only six touchdowns was due to the ever-threatening defensive line and an always nifty secondary. No doubt, will be back.

Congratulations to Dory Thompson and Patty Anderson who will be married on the 20th of this month. Best wishes in the future from all the brothers!

It is safe to say that as of the night of the 10th the big Orange has begun to roll. Let that be a warning to all!

TKE Finds Week To Be Successful

Last week proved to be a very successful one for TKE. We participated in all Greek-Week activities and enjoyed the hearty comradeship of the other fraternities and Sororities.

"Dog" was elected Greek God and he wishes to thank all who supported him. Saturday TKE teamed up with Kappa Delta Epsilon to capture the Greek games trophy thanks to the banana-eating ability of frater Caswell and Kappa Delta Epsilon's Esther Davis.

TKEs are still celebrating their successful defense of the intramural football crown. The TKE Trojans have now won 18 straight games. Wednesday night's championship game with Phi Mu Delta proved to be a physically brutal game. However, the TKE defense proved to be an insurmountable obstacle to Phi Mu Delta and TKE's famous "Husson Play" iced the game 15-7 in favor of TKE.

Question of the Week: What does a nervous girl and a fragile handle have to do with this week's trool, John Martin?



Delanaey and Bonnie, contracted through and by Henderson and Anderson, will appear on the Portland campus this Friday night. Tickets are available on both campuses for \$3.50 each.

Ethan Frome Preps For Big Opening

While the Treehouse Players have been busy with their production of *THE NIGHT THOREAU SPENT IN JAIL*, some other members of the group have been faithfully attending rehearsals for the second major production of the season, which will open during the second week of classes next semester. That next production will be *ETHAN FROME*, directed by Bill Steele. This will be Prof. Steele's first assignment with the Treehouse Players on the Gorham Campus. Steele has just recently closed with his own show on the Portland campus, *THE PROMISE*.

The script by Owen and Donald Davis is an adaptation from Edith Wharton's novel *Ethan Frome*, a late nineteenth century domestic tragedy. Although not representative of Edith Wharton's works, *ETHAN FROME* is probably the best and most famous of her novels. It is the tragic story of three people's wasted lives. The cast includes Ethan Frome, played by Steve Kelley, his wife Zeenobia (Zeena), played by Sharon O'Reilly, and Zeena's young cousin, Mattie Silver, played by Karen McMahon.

Through the use of flashback technique, we glimpse at the life of Ethan Frome to see how his tragic fate was brought about. The crushing use of irony makes the conclusion as surprising as it is dramatic.

mi ke roderick/jim derosier



Delta Chi rocketeers appeared on campus last week testing their theory of "if it goes up it has to come down" using a local mouse as the payload. The bird launched the young rodent into a sub-sub-orbit and returned the capsule and the mouse safely(!).

(photo by J. M. Erspamer)

Music Department, University Present Campus Concerts

The Gorham Chamber Orchestra will present its first concert of the season at Luther Bonney Auditorium, Portland Campus on Sunday, November 21 at 3:00 p.m. Soloists featured in the program of baroque and contemporary works are Ronald Lantz, Roberta Barker, Darryl Card, Deborah Bragdon, Joyce Pike and William Gaudet.

C.P.E. Bach's "Sinfonia" begins the program followed by Vivaldi's "Concerto for two Violins" with soloists Darryl Card, a senior music education major, and Deborah Bragdon, a junior music major. Two contemporary pieces, Elliott Carter's "Elegy" and Wayne Barlow's "The Winter's Passed" with obo soloist Roberta Barker follow after a short intermission. Mrs. Barker is a member of the UMPG applied music faculty.

The third part of the program will feature Ronald Lantz in J. S. Bach's "E major Violin Concerto." Ronald Lantz in principal second violinist in the Portland Symphony Orchestra, second violinist of the Portland Symphony String Quartet, and is a member of the UMPG applied music faculty.

The final pieces on the program is J. S. Bach's "Brandenburg Concerto No. 2" with soloists Ronald Lantz violin; Roberta Barker, oboe; Joyce Pike, flute; and William Gaudet, piccolo trumpet. Joyce Pike is a sophomore music education major and a student of Frances Drinker. William Gaudet is a well known area jazz musician and a veteran of the Tommy Dorsey band and tours with Steve Lawrence and Edie Gorme. He is in his first year of studies as a music major at UMPG.

Free tickets to this event are available to UMPG Students, faculty and staff at the following locations: Portland; Student Affairs Office, Liberal Arts Office (Rm 115 LB), and the Student Union. Gorham; the Mail Room and the Book Store.

The Western Maine Concert Festival will be held on the Gorham Campus, Saturday November 20th. The annual event is sponsored by the Music Departments of the Gorham Public Schools and the University of Maine at Portland-Gorham. Approximately 550 supervisors and students are expected to attend. The various performing groups will rehearse during the day and present an evening concert in Hill Gymnasium at 7:30. The Band will number some 125 students and will be under the direction of Dr. Vito Puopolo of the music faculty of Portland-Gorham. The Combined Chorus of 225 singers will be directed by Dr. Vernon Opheim; the Orchestra of 75 under Dr. Isaac Ostrow; both from the University of Maine at Orono. The Women's Chorus of 100 will be under the direction of Mr. Gerard Chamberland of Portland-Gorham. An interesting and varied program is planned by all groups. The public may attend. Tickets may be purchased in the foyer of Hill Gymnasium.

Ed. Dean Candidate Here Wednesday

All students in the College of Education are cordially invited to meet with Dr. William Small, Associate Dean at Rhode Island College.

Dr. Small, candidate for the Dean's position, will be on the Gorham campus on Wednesday, November 17. An informal meeting with faculty and students will be held on the fifth floor of Bailey Hall from 11:30 to 12:30 p.m. and in the Presidential Dining Room from 12:30 to 1:15 p.m.

Business, Accounting Sophs, Jrs. To Meet

NOTICE TO SOPHOMORES AND JUNIORS MAJORING IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION OR ACCOUNTING

There will be a meeting with Sophomores and Juniors majoring in Business Administration or Accounting to discuss and answer questions regarding the Cooperative Education Program of the School of Business and Economics. Mr. Frank Crozier, Coordinator of Work Experience for the Cooperative Education Program and Mr. Robert W. Findlay, Chairman of the Department of Business Administration, will conduct the meeting. Other people interested in hearing about the program are also invited to attend. The meeting will be held in 326 L.B.H., November 10, from 3 to 4.

New Library Hours Instituted Monday

Effective Monday, November 15th, the University Library hours will be extended as follows:

PORTLAND CAMPUS:

Mon. - Fri. 8 a.m. - 11 p.m.

Sat. 8 a.m. - 5 p.m.

Sun. 1 p.m. - 9 p.m.

GORHAM CAMPUS:


Mon. - Thurs. 8 a.m. - 11 p.m.

Fri. 8 a.m. - 5 p.m.

Sat. 9 a.m. - 4 p.m.

Sun. 2 p.m. - 10 p.m.

During the remaining weeks of this semester the Library staff will be studying library use patterns in an attempt to establish a schedule of hours which will best serve a majority of the University library users. A schedule of hours for the final examination period will be published prior to the start of exams.



Editorials


Guest Editorial

The overriding concern at UMPG at the present time is one of a security question. It is a concern felt by students, members of the faculty and certain administrators. It is most apparent in and among the faculty. It presents itself as: "How stable is my position here?" and "What avenues of escape can I avail myself of in the event of imminent departure?". We have become not a college community, but, rather, a group of various factions within the greater college, and within departments. The air is so negative that we must elect members of each separate department to the various committees and other ruling bodies present. We have become such slaves to bureaucracy that two people cannot sit down and discuss a problem without employing parliamentary procedure and Robert's rules of Order. In a time when the overall macarocosm we call the world is in utter chaos, we have become a microcosm indicator for this situation. The United Nations accepted communist China and expelled Nationalist China. The

world is too small to exclude any nation from that futile and farcical organization, as futile and farcical as it may be; neither can we at UMPG refuse to recognize any member of the entire group involved here. Do you trust President Calisti? Do you know who he is? Do you care? These questions do not apply only to Dr. Calisti. They apply to students when viewed by faculty and administrators. They know no limits. And, because we have to ask these questions, and because the answer to the first one is often a definite "no", there is something wrong. This "something wrong" is the answer to more and greater concern for security among the faculty. We are losing some of the best people we ever had. We will continue to lose them if we don't do something. They don't have to stay. They can go elsewhere. Their avenues of escape are numbered, but they are there nevertheless, and they will be used if we continue this way. The answers are never easy, provided there are any answers at all. Being human has a lot to do with it. Have we forgotten how?

B.E. Cowan

Are you sure it's only
baloney?



You'd better open your eyes
and look around!

Letters

Second Cure

Dear Editor:

In relation to the suggested cure for troublesome crab lice, I would like to pass on a recent innovative technique which may be useful to those who have been involved: Pour gasoline over the hair; set on fire; when they run out --- stab them with an ice pick.

Haig Najarian

Who's Who Mistake?

To the Editor:

E. Beard would have us believe that he works diligently and without bias to expose the disastrous mistakes made by persons in the University community.

I am sure therefore, that he will inform the members of the selection board of the 1971 - 1972 edition of "Who's Who Among Students in American Universities and Colleges" that they have made a horrendous mistake. You see, they put his name in.

name withheld

Dorm Complaint

Dear Editor:

Since the first few weeks of September the residents of third floor Anderson hall have been complaining about the generally poor conditions existing in the toilets and shower areas of the floor. In this particular case it is a work study student responsible for the floor that has failed to do the job properly. Now you might not be too interested in this unless you might have noticed a similar problem in other dorms. Generally the cause of a problem may be traced back to understaffing of the maintenance force on the Gorham campus. Portland apparently has a very adequate setup with sufficient permanent help to keep the facilities in good order but Gorham could use more full time help for building upkeep. Something is being done - a week from now we should be getting a new full time man for Woodward Hall - something which we have needed for quite some time now. This will also release the man who now has both Woodward and Anderson Hall for full duty in Anderson Hall. This to the residents of these dorms

The regularly scheduled University of Maine Board of Trustees meeting will be held on the Gorham campus Wednesday and Thursday of this week. The Trustees will meet at 8 p.m. on Wednesday evening in special committee meetings and will meet in open session at 8:30 a.m. Thursday in Hastings Formal Lounge.

At The Movies Lost Horizons

A plane carrying foreigners out of war-torn China crashes in the mountains of Tibet. As the passengers, few of whom have been seriously hurt, attempt to find help, they stumble upon Shangri-La. Hidden far away from the reach of modern civilization, it is a world in which disease and hardship are unknown, and the inhabitants live without aging. Although made to feel completely at home, the visitors cannot overcome the suspicions and mistrust which the outside world has taught them.

The above is the story line for Frank Capra's fantasy film classic to be shown tonight, Nov. 15, in Bailey Auditorium at 7:30 for fifty cents.

This movie is considered one of the few film classics to come out of Hollywood during the thirties. For those of you who don't particularly want to spend fifty cents to see a 1937 film classic, take heart; Psycho, resplendent with show stopping murder and all, will be shown next Monday at 7:00 and 9:00.

Sorority Is Not True Sisterhood

I heard some girls singing "Sorority" or something like that and it really bothered me, for a number of reasons. The most immediate of reasons was their loud, and very unpleasant singing interfered with my enjoyment of Mod Squad. Secondly, no way in hell are sororities true sisterhoods. They are discriminating, excluding non-members and isolating themselves from the rest of the campus women.

The functions of the pseudo-service sororities, in reality, amounts to two or three community services a year. The real purpose is that of a social club cultivating gossip groups and snob sects. Somehow, being "sisters" deems them superior to all other women. This is bullshit. They should stop being "sorority girls", and start acting like women.

I'm not above or below the sorority sisters. We all have the same struggle to find ourselves, by ourselves; to refuse those roles defined by men; to end economic and sexual exploitation. I want to see sisterhood and brotherhood merge to become peoplehood. Sororities only perpetuate the gap of unity - both with fellow women and men.

The OBSERVER

Univ. of Maine at Portland—Gorham
Gorham, Me. 04038

Staff:

Scott Alloway
Peter Cates
Chickie Cusick
J. Michael Erspamer
Kathy Greene
David Javor
Randy Judkins
Brian L. Kendrick (editor)
Dyan McCarthy
Joanne Stockwell
Paul Whitmore

Subscription rates

Full year: \$5
Semester: \$3
Month: \$1

Only you can set yourself free.

Letters

CONTINUED FROM PAGE FOUR

should mean significantly better conditions because the job will be done to a larger extent by full time men.

The work-study people will still do their jobs — but with more assistance and direction. We can only hope that that worker who obviously has been inefficient in the past will be replaced. Sufficient complaints have been launched by residents to warrant his replacement but these complaints have failed to get action by the proper people. It isn't a matter of they can't replace this person but rather that "he needs the money." All I can say is needy or not he's not doing the job and as a result we have to put up with very poor conditions in the toilets and showers.

Phil Libby — Take Notice and Act on This!!!
Lenny Schaff

Dining Hall Comment

Dear Editor:

I would like to talk about the dining hall facility on the Gorham campus and Mr. Al Bagge's comments about it in the last issue of the Observer.

Did You Know:

- That the wax beans taste exactly the same as the fried potatoes, except that the beans chew differently and generally bounce when they hit the floor?
- that today's most sophisticated mechanical equipment which you claim will not remove cigarette ashes from tableware is incapable of removing food particles as well, or haven't you noticed how selective some students are about picking clean silverware?
- that an efficient hot food counter is serviced completely by help, who dole out what the students want, as they pass through. This is done to greatly reduce the mess caused by inexperienced serving themselves. It also decreases the "dilly-dallying" by not giving them an excuse to do so?
- I disagree with your statement that "when you are cooking for more than a thousand people, you just cannot compete with mother's cooking". Any chef who takes pride in what he is doing will produce one plate with the same quality of a hundred plates. I would think that efficiency goes up with the greater volume produced, so it just may be the general quality of that one plate that I am questioning?
- I like the idea that you offer cottage cheese most of the time, but if you would add cranberry sauce, pickles,

carrots, celery and olives to that list of staples with the noon or dinner meal, you will receive the highest praise — the major reason that most students gave irresponsible feedback on the "food preference survey" is that many students find it difficult to take the dining hall seriously, because they are generally stricken with severe cases of heartburn and resent the inconvenience of having to walk all the way back to the dorm for medication.

— you insult me when you state that "I contribute to the sloppy appearance of the dining hall when I drop things on the floor either carelessly or deliberately. If you call missing my mouth deliberate, then the only thing I can say is that if the food wasn't so slippery from excess amounts of grease the likelihood that it would fall off my fork would decrease.

— the rumors that the dining hall is recycling the garbage by dumping the remains of the noon meal into pudding, and soup at dinner, are a bit hard to swallow. — statements about the salad dressing being so bad that it wilts the lettuce after thirty seconds are untrue, for I like the dressings offered.

— Your complaints about students sneaking food out of the cafeteria are unjustified. You should be flattered that some students like your food enough to take the risk.

— someone suggested that the reason that there are no cockroaches and rats in the kitchen is that the rats ate the cockroaches and died from the indigestion of food the cockroaches had eaten.

Please put salt shakers back on the tables, regardless of the fact the students do steal them, or else attach chains to the old ones. It makes the food taste much better if one doesn't have to get exercise during the meal by running after salt.

Try adding vodka to the orange juice in the morning and wine to the grape cooler for lunch. If this doesn't invoke a student response to the improvement of the cafeteria food, read page 430 of The All New Fanny Farmer Boston Cooking School Cookbook, on how to make chocolate brownies edited by I.M. Stoned!

Thank You
C.E.

Campus Newstand

Dear Editor:

The Gorham Campus Newsstand can be opened only if we have people willing to work on it. This is a project to be of service to all students and to survive it needs your help. If you are willing to work one hour a week or more leave your name in Kathy Monahan's office, see Judene Dyer, or drop by the Observer office.

Judene Dyer



by Rick Mitz

Future Shock, the sociologists tell us. Future Shock? Never. We're suffering from Schlock Shock. And it all revolves around one word: Love.

What used to be so personal, private and intimate has turned into a merchandising formula for wealthy would-be poets and capitalistic couldn't-be writers who never have to say they're sorry.

Mass-produced love, like hula hoops and Barbie Dolls, is a new vogue. "Love is universal and love is an easy thing to merchandise," says the merchandising director of Paramount records. And not only are there bountiful banal books and multitudinous maudlin movies about the subject, but we're buying those books and seeing those movies. We're not being taken by it. We're taking it in.

We're allowing today's millionaire mod prophets to perpetuate old romantic myths that dictate the meaning and greening and, worse yet, how we find love.

In order to find the Meaning of Love, you have to play hockey at Yale, break away from your rich father and find a poor girlfriend who has leukemia.

You'll find it if you get rid of your bad breath. Or

the frizzies. Or psoriasis. Or your mild case of terminal acne.

You'll find it if you but the world a Coke.

You'll find it if you live alone-alone-alone with a cat named Sloopy.

Cardboard Love is enveloping — rather than developing — us. In poetry, in movies, on television, in alleged literature.

"I used to be in love with my teddy bear, but I love you lots more . . ." proclaims a book called "I love you" that's guaranteed to be so impersonal you can give it to anyone — your lover, your mother or your teddy bear. One dollar please.

Or Peter McWilliams who has written a slew of sappy books ("Come Love with me & be my life." "I love therefore I Am," not to mention "The Hard Stuff: Love.") His books contain such hard stuff as:

first
I lived for love.

then
I lived in love.

then
I lived love.

now, with you

I just
love!

Two dollars please.

But their books read like Walgreen greeting cards compared to the works of fathers of them all: Erich Segal and Rod McKuen.

Love Story: about a male student who plays

p LATTER p PERSPECTIVE

by peter cates

1. Ian and Sylvia — Columbia — C — 30736.
2. Gordon Lightfoot: Summer Side of Life — Reprise MS — 2037.

The Canadians in the past few years have become a force to be reckoned with in the mainstream of contemporary music. The first people to fuse the seemingly separate worlds of country and folk were Ian and Sylvia and the results were two collections of music by writers who had previously worked in the "folk" realm, given country-flavored performances and recorded in the country music capital of Nashville. However, Ian Tyson always felt more influenced by country music than anything else and his uncanny ability to synthesize this interest with the fads of the time (folk, blues country, folk-rock or country rock) without losing his individuality and musical identity always amazed me. His latest release can best be termed an example of soft country-rock and is an ingratiating album to listen to. His wife, Sylvia, has one of the most beautiful vocal instruments for blues and country and the way she handles the excruciating sharps and flats of this material has always amazed me.

Gordon Lightfoot has assembled a battalion of high-powered professionals in Nashville for this particular outing and the results are an extremely pleasant, though at times uneven, collection of tunes. Side one is perfection with such fine songs as "Miguel" which is the story of a born loser and the girl he loves. "Ten Degrees and Getting Colder" recounts the intensive self-searching of a typical cross-country folk singer, and finally, "Talking in Your Sleep", which is a gentle love-ballad full of the directness and simplicity of which Lightfoot is so capable. Side two is enjoyable but selections, such as the "Same Old Lover Man" and "Redwood Hill" lacked the forceful originality of earlier material. As usual, Red Shea's guitar work blends beautifully with Lightfoot's musical tapestry and the shifting colors, dynamics and clever figurations leave me convinced that he is one of the best back-up acoustic guitarists in the country.

my lai,
you lai,
we lai,
they die.

Schlock Love Proves Profitable

hockey instead of hookey. About a coed who gets married and then buried. \$5.95 in hard-back, 95 cents in paper-back please.

And Maestro McKuen: "If they could overlook my acne/ and the inch I lacked/ to carry them to heaven,/ I too could deal in charity." \$4.50 please.

"Rod puts into words all the things I feel," said one girl I know who doesn't even have acne. She considers McKuen's prose-poetry to be good literature because it puts her feelings into words. But good literature takes words and transforms them into feelings.

McKuen and Segal deal in greeting card kitsch, but on a different level than the others. They manipulate us into wanting to believe that love is easy. Their works are read quickly, cried and sighed over quickly. They lead us into a fantasy world, where life is a love story, where loneliness is a national pastime, exceeded only by leukemia and acne. And they laugh all the way through the bunk and to the bank.

Love Story has sold more than five million copies and was made into a successful (financially, anyway) movie. McKuen's books and records have earned The Bard more than \$5 million a year. Not bad for a little love.

So what does it all mean? The answer is probably best put in one of McKuen's own poems: "If you had listened hard enough/ you might have heard/ what I meant to say: Nothing."

But whatever they meant to say, they say it over and over and over again.

Maybe love means you never have to say.

Governance Does Not Please Faculty

The FACULTY VOICE

FACULTY VOICE Advisory Committee: Michael Selkin, William Slavick, and Theodore Sottery. Unsigned opinions do not necessarily represent the views of more than one member of the committee.

In the good old days at Gorham and Portland — and they were not so long ago — there were governance structures arrived at after long and tireless Faculty efforts, ratified by the Faculties, which enjoyed general faculty support and received little responsible faculty or student criticism.

The Gorham Assembly made decisions which could become policy over the President's veto. The Portland Campus Council's recommendations were highly respected. With the exception of an occasional cry for more student members (despite frequent absenteeism in meetings), the only student criticism of the Gorham Assembly was impatience with the necessary and inevitable slowness and dullness of democracy at work. The only student criticism of the Portland Council was the argument that the consumer should have more say, though, it is reported, the arguers never indicated what they wanted more say about, unless it was Cambodia.

The essential feature of both governance structures was the Faculty's significant role in policy decisions and recommendations. Both Faculties still had a way to go to be heard on all appropriate matters, such as the critical decisions determining budget priorities. Both structures were rapidly becoming respectable examples of the kind of role faculty play in institutions of higher learning. And each body had substantial student participation.

Unfortunately, coincident with the merger came two pressures foreign to the tradition of the Faculty serving as "the effective academic governing body," to use the Ad Hoc Maine AAUP Conference Committee's phrase. Both pressures operated upon the Governance Committee last year. One was a legalistic emphasis upon the President's powers: Since the Trustees vest responsibility in him, all decision-making power must be his alone. The second

second pressure, from a small, militant group of Portland students, was the demand for equal student representation with faculty on governance committees and councils.

As the State AAUP Committee's report on the document last June 8th observes, the result was a governance structure that removes the Faculty from its proper role in University decision-making while giving students a role that goes "well beyond what can be expected to emerge from other good institutions that are contemplating a move toward student participation in American academic politics." All the AAUP neglected to say was that in the body concerned with University-level decisions, the inordinate "student power" vis-a-vis Faculty was half of nothing, which is nothing.

The Faculty bitterly opposed the draft document and despite strong politicking for it at Portland, rejected the slightly revised version by a 3-2 (105-69) margin. The AAUP Report understates the case by saying that the document did not lead "to a consensus adequate for governance." Invariably, University governance documents are ratified by 90% or better of the Faculty.

Subsequently, Acting President MacLeod refused Faculty entreaties to use his powers to change the document and when Chancellor McNeil promised a Gorham committee to ask the Trustees to return Part IV to the campuses for review, instead he asked the Trustees to give him power to change it himself, which he did, for the worse.

Administration Motives Unstated

The Administration's and Chancellor's motives in actions which most faculty could only view with incredulity were never stated. They clearly did not include recognition of the Faculty's established role in University-level decision-making at Gorham and Portland and respectable institutions everywhere. And the constant

interaction of equal student representation as a given not open to debate, the plea to "Trust us." the deafness to complaints about the weak consultation role of the Council that could not properly represent the Faculty or speak for it (and wasn't even supposed to,) and the evidence of last spring and this fall — that major decisions will be made solely by Administration, disregardful how the Faculty Counsels it point to a contrary motive: By championing/student-administration majorities in normally Faculty-majority bodies and reducing the influence of those bodies, the Administration could achieve a truly bureaucratic regime, free of the usual Faculty pressures. Eddie L. Beard is perfectly accurate in calling the Faculty employees, working under plant managers.

Such motives certainly explain as no others do the incredible situation in which the Faculty finds itself. Governance documents are invariably ratified only by the Faculty. This administration first denies ratification for process of recommendations, which the Faculty begrudgingly accepted in the expectation of Governance Committee and Administration good faith. Then, in the kind of coup one expects in bababa republics, the Administration instead insists upon a document that not only fails to achieve what the AAUP Report calls an adequate consensus but even a simple majority of Faculty support.

Outside comments on the document have varied from the AAUP Conference recommendation last May that Faculty refuse to participate in it to one dean candidate's incredulous pronouncement that, without ratification, it cannot be considered in effect.

Trustees' Good Faith

Some Faculty found the AAUP counsel to refuse participation attractive, but the document's most outspoken opponents recognized the Trustees' good faith at Presque Isle and, except for joining in the partial faculty boycott of the Council elections, are participating. However, many faculty, including several elected to leading governance roles, agreed to participate only with the understanding that the Trustees' intention at Presque Isle had been to respond to the UMPG Faculty appeal by calling for a review and ratification that would make possible

Complete AAUP Statement Reprinted

The last act of the governance controversy was played out last June 10th, by which time students had scattered for the summer. It was also played far from the UMPG scene, at the Trustees meeting at Presque Isle, where the Trustees responded to UMPG Faculty discontent with the governance document by approving Chancellor McNeil's and President Calisti's recommendations, taking the Faculty Affairs Committee out from under the Council and guaranteeing that the Faculty would not have to live under an unratified governance structure beyond this year.

Instrumental in effecting those changes and the Trustee's commitment of the UMPG Administration to realizing a governance structure that meets faculty approval was the report of the Ad Hoc Committee of the Maine State Conference of the AAUP on the Proposed Governance Document for UMPG. It was presented at the Trustee meeting by Prof. Edward Pols of Bowdoin College, who was joined in the discussion by Prof. Charles W. Major of UM/Orono.

It's republication here is a service to all concerned about the deliberations on governance changes now beginning anew.

The Report of the Ad Hoc Committee of the Maine State Conference of the AAUP on the Proposed Governance Document for UMPG

This committee was charged by the Maine State Conference of the AAUP with the responsibility of reviewing matters, both procedural and substantive, related to the document produced by the Ad Hoc Committee on University Governance of the University of Maine Portland-Gorham.

In the course of its deliberations the committee has considered the statements of a wide range of interested

parties from the Portland-Gorham campus, the testimony oral and written, of concerned individuals and groups, and the AAUP "Statement on Government of Colleges and Universities" of the 1966 and the "Standards for Committee T Investigations in the Area of College and University Government" of 1968. The committee is genuinely impressed with the work of the Ad Hoc Committee on Governance and with the sincerity of the interest it has generated among students, faculty, and administration at the Portland-Gorham campus.

All of the governance work to date has been done in good faith by hardworking, serious committees. The result, however, has not led to a consensus adequate for governance, and the procedures used in putting the document into effect have, inadvertently, made the situation harder to resolve.

The committee in fulfilling its charge wishes to make the following points and recommendations:

1. The Governance Committee began its deliberations in an unpropitious atmosphere. In the first place, it was called upon to form one university campus out of two institutions whose interests, in their old form, did not coincide on important points. In the second place it was called upon to form a complete educational constitution at a time when throughout the country, as part of a revolution that goes well beyond the issues of the Vietnam war, students are pressing for a major role in the governance of universities and colleges. This demand is by no means confined to students, but is part of a widespread reexamination of the appropriate relations between administration, faculty, student body, and trustees. This national pressure was felt in an especially intense form in the spring of 1970 at Portland because it was exercised on an institution that had in an important sense not yet come into being - the University of Maine at Portland-Gorham. As a result, the governance document now under discussion bears marks

of this transitional and revolutionary situation that have serious implications for the organization of the entire University of Maine. In particular it goes well beyond existing American practice in the matter of student power and indeed well beyond what can be expected to emerge from other good institutions that are contemplating a move toward student participation in American academic politics.

II. In the present contentious situation any governance document should look toward the present academic governance of the best institutions in this country, which are all moving in an orderly and judicious way to bring about greater student participation, rather than toward a revolutionary goal in which students would be given a role unprecedented in the American scene. At all good institutions in the country all power, *de jure*, resides in the trustees and their delegated executive officers, the administration. At such institutions, however, the effective academic governing body is nevertheless the faculty. Administrators in their administration of these institutions do so with the consent of such bodies, which represent the professional expertise of American education. Trustees recognize this by the power they delegate to faculties in either a *de jure* or a *de facto* sense. The corporate will of faculties has been asserted either by faculty meetings or by faculty senates, and any good administrator at a first-rate institution is aware that the quality of his administration depends upon his leadership of such bodies and his responsiveness to them. The governance document undermines the effectiveness of faculty governance at various points, but four chief ones may be cited:

1. At the level of school/college organization the faculty meeting is replaced by a school/college assembly consisting of faculty and students in a ratio of 2 to 1.

2. At the university level the function of a faculty

the major changes the faculty identified to the Board last spring.

But Dr. Calisti has conveniently reinterpreted history. The Chancellor did make changes on his own, making the document worse yet. And Dr. Calisti's three assumptions are all inconsistent with the Presque Isle action: (a) The Trustees did not charge him to "appoint" a Review Committee; it was stated there that it could as well be elected. (b) The "understanding" that the present document must stay in effect until new procedures are developed is nowhere indicated in the Presque Isle discussion and the Chancellor did not answer that question when put to him by a Trustee. (c) As early as the week following the Presque Isle meeting, the author of the crucial final paragraph in Chancellor McNeil's statement admitted that he did not understand what it meant. In effect Dr. Calisti has chosen to interpret the Chancellor's document, which came nowhere near satisfying the Faculty at the time, in the one way that most encourages continuance of the status quo.

And his proposal adopts with only the single change of adding an appointed Faculty chairman, the Student Activities Review proposal. As Prof. Spanogle of the Law School observed in the Council, the Faculty Affairs proposal is what one should expect from a group on the outside looking in, the SAC's of a group on the inside trying to hold onto what it has.

Dr. Calisti had been urged by the Faculty Affairs Committee to establish a Review that would encourage presentation of a document the Faculty could ratify and a ratification that would assure the Faculty that it would not have to live indefinitely under a governance structure it has rejected. This appeared to the Faculty Affairs Committee perfectly consistent with the Trustees' intentions at Presque Isle (How does one interpret Prof. Petrucci's remark after the Presque Isle meeting to the anti-document faculty delegation — "You've won; you just have to wait a year for your victory" — except to mean that the governance structure next year must have Faculty approval?).

Dr. Calisti had been urged by Council Chairman Parker Albee to recognize that the composition of the Review committee and the ratification process inevitably involved either encouragement of change, as he believes the Trustees intended, or encouragement of the status quo.

Last Wednesday, Faculty Affairs, by a near unanimous vote, urged Dr. Calisti to await a Trustee interpretation of the Presque Isle action.

And a "neutral" committee from Faculty Affairs unsuccessfully tried to see Dr. Calisti before he announced the Review procedures to urge him to make recommen-

dations the Faculty could recognize as fair, lest the Faculty and the University be torn apart by another governance battle next spring.

Recommendations Unfair to Faculty

Dr. Calisti's recommendations are, of course, not at all fair to the Faculty. The composition of the committee follows what Dr. Calisti has called "the accepted rule around here," a ratio of 2-2-1. The "accepted rule" has, of course, been accepted only by the Viking students, the Administration, and a very very small handful of Faculty — as every survey and measure clearly shows. A Review committee with a majority representation from the vested interests is not going to be very interested in giving back to the Faculty what was taken from it over its loud protests last spring.

Second, the announcement before the Review begins that failure of ratification by all three groups leaves the present document in force another year strongly encourages veto of significant changes by administrators who are satisfied trying to run the University without Faculty counsel or who consider it wise to follow the President's lead and students who take the Viking crowd seriously or fail to understand the Faculty's role in governance. Or it encourages the Review committee to produce a document with a few bland changes that might lead a slim majority of the Faculty to see the revision as a slight improvement and take the bait, not fully realizing that approval of insignificant changes is forfeiting the Faculty's last chance for some years to come for real change/recovering the Faculty's role in governance and establishing a strong Faculty and student voice in governance.

"Thieves" As Jurors

In short, Dr. Calisti's Review says to the Faculty; "We stole your living room and dining room furniture last year and we're setting up a court to consider returning it. The thieves and the victim will constitute the jury, but the decision must be unanimous or the thieves can keep the loot — or all of it they want to keep." Curiously, the thieves did not, of course, have to have the victim's approval to take his furniture. For Dr. Calisti the status quo is precedent and the starting point for getting out of the mess the Administration got us into last year. Both views are totally unacceptable to faculty who recognize the importance of the faculty role in governance.

Explanations

There are only a few explanations for an action that can only be compared to those of the Administration

and Chancellor last spring.

(1) The Administration and Chancellor found in the Petrucci document the means for eliminating the Faculty from a genuine role in University-level decision-making, snatched it, and intends to continue denial of that role to the Faculty.

(2) The Administration simply fails to understand how destructive and demoralizing to the Faculty and how ultimately unworkable is its concept of the Faculty's role in University governance. The Administration cannot run a University alone, even with student acquiescence, and the failure of that effort at UMPG over the last twelve months is quite sufficient proof in itself.

(3) Or the Administration naively expects such a Review committee and such a ratification procedure, clearly stacked in favor of the status quo the Faculty has already rejected, to either produce major changes students and administrators will approve or a document the Faculty is too demoralized and weary to reject.

It is unfortunately true that not many faculties can present a united front over a sustained period of time on any issue. Some will see the importance of an issue and pursue it tenaciously at whatever cost to their leisure, families, and research. Many who see the issue but are unwilling to make those sacrifices and unable to divide their minds between their classes and academic politics will eventually abandon the fight to "get back to the classroom." And, of course, every faculty has more than a healthy quotient of those who fail to see an issue's importance or who are not really committed to the institution or who are apathetic about everything or who have never respected their proper role in University decision-making and look confidently to authoritarian rule by the Administration.

It is obvious to all that a great many of the committed members of this Faculty are weary and despondent about any hope of governance change in the foreseeable future. And the Administration is obviously being advised that adding that number to the apathetic, the halt, and the lame, may well have created a majority of the moment that can, for the moment anyway, be counted on not to rise in wrath at this Review scheme.

But this is still the crucial moment in UMPG's young history. It is now that the University's course and the patterns for doing things are being established that will shape or misshape this University for years to come. What the University is to be, the Faculty's role in achieving that vision, and the procedures guaranteeing that role are critically important, not only to the Faculty but to students and the people of Maine.

And such deliberate affronts to the Faculty and such apparent lack of any direction the Faculty can recognize as worthy of its respect also make clear that any vision of a University that UMPG develops in the near future will have to come from the roots up. And any realization of that vision, even with a Liberal Arts dean who can offer leadership, will founder, for the dollar decisions here, it is now quite clear, are to be, in the pure sense, from the top down. And there is an ad out now for yet another administrator, another assistant plant manager.

AAUP Report On Governance

meeting (or faculty senate) is replaced by a council consisting of 5 administrators, 8 faculty members, and 8 students.

The presence of students on such bodies in such numbers goes well beyond what is found even at institutions that have so far acceded to the student revolution as to accept the principle of some student representation on such bodies. Additional problems are these:

3. The intermingling of students, faculty, and administration at all levels of decision-making makes it impossible for the administrator to determine whether he has the firm faculty consensus needed for the implementation of his policies.

4. The procedures recommended for reappointment, non-reappointment, promotion, and tenure are certainly obscure and difficult to interpret; in any case it is not clear that they are consistent with the procedures recommended by the National AAUP ("1940 Statement on Academic Freedom and Tenure" and "1968 Recommended Institutional Regulations on Academic Freedom and Tenure").

III. The atmosphere of turmoil and administrative uncertainty out of which the governance document emerged has, however, made for a paradox. On the one hand it gives the administration a power unchecked by faculty ratification, a power that exists at no good institution. On the other hand, the document gives students a power that, it seems safe to say, they have achieved at no tax-supported institution, and probably at few reputable institutions of any kind. Under Part IV of the document a potential coalition of students and administrators threatens to make the traditional corporate power of the faculty vanish completely.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Although most of the objectionable parts of the document are in Part IV, we believe that no part of the

document should be imposed. We therefore urge the following transitional procedures:

- that the trustees constitute the combined faculties of Portland-Gorham as an ad hoc ratification body;
- that Parts I, II, III of the governance document, with the exception of Part II, Article IV, which concerns the college assembly, be submitted for possible ratification and amendment to this ratification body;
- that a temporary government be established for this summer;
- that (if most of Parts I, II, III are ratified) an ad hoc

committee elected by the ratification body be constituted to produce a document alternative to Parts IV — VII and to Article IV of Part II, this document to be submitted to the ratification body by the end of the fall semester, 1971, for possible ratification and amendment.

The conception of ratification as "the consent of the governed" is a false assimilation of the academic community to the political one. To ask for ratification by a faculty is not to ask consent of the governed; it is rather to ask consent of those who best understand the nature of education to a proposed system of ordering an educational institution. Nor can the students be considered "the governed". An educational institution does not govern students; it regulates their education. In this their advice and cooperation is essential, and even an altered version of Part IV should make ample provision for it.

Charles W. Bassett, Department of Eng., Colby College; Glenn Frankenfield, Department of Eng., Univ. of Me., Farmington; John A. Lindlof, Department of Education, Univ. of Me., Orono; Charles W. Major, Department of Zoology, Univ. of Me., Orono; Edward Pols, Department of Philosophy, Bowdoin College; David Still, Department of Eng., Husson College.

782-1431
Cinema
NORTHWOOD SHOPPING PLAZA

WALT DISNEY'S FANTASIA
TECHNICOLOR
THE ULTIMATE EXPERIENCE FOR EVERYONE!
"DISNEY'S GREAT PIONEERING VENTURE IS THE SEASON'S HIT REVIVAL!" Newsweek
"AN INCREDIBLY REVOLUTIONARY FILM... THE MIND CAN RUN RIOT!" The NYU Ticker
"A WILD, PSYCHEDELIC DISPLAY... REALLY TURNS YOU ON!" Pittsburgh Press
"A MULTI-MEDIA MASTERPIECE!" Look

Bargain Matinee All Seats
Saturday Morning \$1.00

Starts Wed., Nov. 17
thru Tue., Nov. 23

Showings at 7 & 9 Mon thru Fri.
1, 3, 5, 7, & 9 Sat. and Sunday

Cinema
Northwood Shopping Plaza
Lewiston, Maine

Grand Cosmos, TKE Snare Intramural Championships

TKE continued its dominance of the football league by edging the Phi Mu Delta "Jets" 15-7 to cop their second consecutive championship. TKE finished the season with a 7-0 record while Phi Mu Delta registered their first loss.

TKE got on the scoreboard first when Earl Foley of the "Jets" ran out of his own endzone for a two point safety. Tight defenses left the score 2-0 at halftime. TKE rambled on in the second half aided by Bob Holden's interception and runback for a touchdown. The extra point was missed and the score remained at 8-0. TKE scored their next touchdown on a trick play that left both the "Jets" and the spectators in awe. Buff Young moved two yards in play from the sidelines and ran a deep pass pattern. Bob Rhoades hit him easily and it was 14-0. Phi Mu Delta protested that Young was out of bounds, but to no avail. TKE added the extra point to make it 15-0.

Late in the game the "Jets" got out their potent offense moving and finally scored on an Earl Foley to Eddie O'Shea pass play. The point was added and the game ended shortly after.

Both teams are to be congratulated for their exciting play. Although there were many fine performers, the quarterback, Bob Rhoades of TKE and Earl Foley of Phi Mu Delta surely stood out. The lines also deserve a lot of credit as an important part of each team.

Basketball Begins Soon

Rosters for all teams competing in either the A or B intramural basketball league at Gorham were due in the Hill Gym offices today, Nov. 15. A schedule will soon be made up and teams should begin play this week.

Last year's "A" champs, Phi Mu Delta, again appears to be the team to beat in the A league, with TKE and Grand Cosmos also strong contenders. In the B league it shapes up to be a three-way battle between Hupp's team, the Grand Cosmos B team, and the Question Marks.

A topic of much discussion has been the idea of playing games on Sunday night. Many players favor this because it might add games to the schedule and would allow more players a chance to play. This idea is still being considered with a final decision to be reached this week. There is also hope that a championship game and an all-star game between the campuses might be held at the conclusion of the regular season. This would truly decide the UMPG championship.

Grand Cosmos defeated the faculty 6-2 to take top honors during the regular season which concluded last Wednesday at Bowl-A-Wile Lanes in Gorham. The Cosmos finished with a 52-4 record while the Faculty dropped to 40-16.

In other matches the Hogs easily took eight points from the Phi Mu Deltas "Jets" while TKE continued their hot streak with a 7-1 triumph over the Hogs "B" team.

Delta Chi finished their season with a 6-2 win over the "Patriots."

The playoffs will be a round robin affair with the top four teams playing each other once. The team with the most accumulative points (regular season plus playoffs) will be designated as intramural champs.

Final Regular Season Results- Playoff Teams:


Grand Cosmos	52-4
Hogs	40-16
Faculty	40-16
TKE	37-19

Ski Team

Ski meetings will be held at the Hill Gymnasium of the Gorham campus on the following dates (Wednesdays):

November 17th
December 1st
December 15th

All meetings are at 4:00p.m. Have clothes available so that participants can use the tramp-o-line



Housing Survey

There will be a housing survey conducted Monday evening, Nov. 15, in all resident halls. The surveys will seek students' views on living and social conditions in the dorms. Everyone's participation would be greatly appreciated by R.S.G. and Housing and Food Services Committee which is jointly conducting it.

If you want to take an active role in determining UMPG's activity and social events (Movies, concerts, coffeehouse, lectures and the fine arts, major weekends, and student unions) write your name and phone number on the poster provided on each campus's Student Union bulletin board. Volunteers are especially needed to work on the Union Committee for planning dances, parties, TGIF's, trips, and other policies.

Sorority Sisters Active Throughout Week- long Participation

This week has been a hectic week for the sisters of Alpha Xi Delta with various activities concerning Greek Weekend and last minute preparation for Rush.

Sue Philbrick is busy working in conjunction with the TKE fraternity sponsoring the annual Alpha Xi Delta-TKE Christmas Party. This year the party will be for the children of Gorham and Windham Headstart programs.

President Linsa Penkalski represented Alpha Xi Delta Thursday night at the Greek Weekend Smoker.

Several sisters are getting married in the near future. We would like to extend warmest wishes to Patty Anderson and Dory Thompson, Elane St. Pierre and Don Cannan, Linda Ladderbush and Mike Park, and Kathy Bent and Arthur Mead.

Un-Classified

FOR SALE: One year old Lear Jet Solid State 8 Track Portable Stereo Tape Player. Runs on AC-DC current. Good to excellent condition. 20 tapes included. Best offer. Contact Sue Bellas, room 512 Tower A.

HELP WANTED: Students to work on the student newsstand in the student center. If interested see Judene Dyer, Kathy Monahan or drop by the Observer Office.

Anyone can use the lockers at student center by contacting Kathy Monahan.

FOR ABORTIONS: call Family Planning Information Service N.Y.C. 212-667-3040
Non Profit Referral Service. Legitimate Safe Clinics.

THE PEPPER SHACK
8 Main St.
Gorham



Features pizzas and Italian sandwiches
Free Delivery between 9 and 11 p.m.
with minimum order of \$5.00

Open noon to 1 a.m. seven days a week
tel. 839-6062

10% off on all Orange Dot and Red Dot Albums
with this coupon.

WAX MUSEUM 372 Fore St., Portland

**A professional
ABORTION
that is safe,
legal &
inexpensive**

can be set up on an
outpatient basis by calling
The Problem Pregnancy
Educational Service, Inc.
215-722-5360
24 hours-7 days
for professional, confidential
and caring help.

Gorham Playhouse

839-4000

GORHAM, MAINE

**DUSTIN HOFFMAN in
MIDNIGHT COWBOY**

Two Shows 7:00 & 9:00 p.m.

Adults....\$1.25
each show plays once